

# LINEAR ALGEBRA

KIM, SUNGJIN

## 1. PRELIMINARY

**Lemma 1.1** (Smith Normal Form). *Let  $A$  be a nonzero  $m \times n$  matrix over a principal ideal domain (PID)  $R$ . There exist invertible  $m \times m$  and  $n \times n$  matrices  $P, Q$  so that*

$$(1) \quad P^{-1}AQ^{-1} = \text{Diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r),$$

where  $\alpha_i \mid \alpha_{i+1}$  for  $i < r$ , here the last few terms can be 0.

The matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  may not be products of elementary matrices in general (see [1, p.23]). When the ring  $R$  is Euclidean, then it is possible to find  $P$  and  $Q$  through elementary row/column operations.

**Lemma 1.2** (Structure Theorem over PID, Invariant factor decomposition). *Every finitely generated module  $M$  over a PID  $R$  is isomorphic to a unique one of the form*

$$(2) \quad R^f \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r R/(d_i),$$

where  $d_i \mid d_{i+1}$ , and  $d_i \neq (0)$ . The summands are called the invariant factors.

**Lemma 1.3** (Structure Theorem over PID, Primary decomposition). *Conditions are the same as above,  $M$  is isomorphic to a unique one of the form*

$$(3) \quad R^f \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s R/(p_i^{r_i}),$$

where  $p_i$  are prime ideals.

## 2. THEOREMS

We regard a left-multiplication of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  as  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module element, namely  $x$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}^n$  can be viewed as an  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module with  $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  acting as  $p(A) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , denoted as  $M^A$ . Note that for any field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  is an ED (Euclidean Domain), hence a PID. Our application of the structure theorem in invariant factor form is

**Theorem 2.1** (Rational Canonical Form-Invariant factor form). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $A$  is similar to a block diagonal matrix of the form*

$$(4) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C(f_i),$$

where  $f_i \mid f_{i+1}$ , and  $C(f_i)$  is the companion matrix associated to  $f_i$ . This form is unique up to rearrangement of blocks.

Using primary decomposition, we have

**Theorem 2.2** (Rational Canonical Form-Primary decomposition). *Conditions are the same as above,  $A$  is similar to a block diagonal matrix of the form*

$$(5) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C(p_i^{r_i}),$$

where  $p_i$  are irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ . This form is unique up to rearrangement of blocks.

For the proof, use structure theorem to the  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module  $M^A$  as described above.

If the ground field is algebraically closed, then we have Jordan Canonical Form.

**Theorem 2.3** (Jordan Canonical Form). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over an algebraically closed field  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ . Then  $A$  is similar to a block diagonal matrix of the form*

$$(6) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J(\lambda_i, r_i),$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and  $J(\lambda_i, r_i)$  is the Jordan block of diagonal  $\lambda_i$  and 1 directly below the main diagonal with size  $r_i \times r_i$ . This form is unique up to rearrangement of blocks.

**Theorem 2.4** (Generalized Jordan Form). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $A$  is similar to a block diagonal matrix of the form*

$$(7) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^s J(p_i, r_i),$$

where  $p_i$  are the irreducible factors of the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , and  $J(p_i, r_i)$  is the generalized Jordan block of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} C(p_i) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ U & C(p_i) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & U & C(p_i) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & U & C(p_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

with the matrix  $U$  whose sole nonzero entry 1 on the upper right corner, and  $r_i$  is the number of diagonal blocks. This form is unique up to rearrangement of blocks.

For the proof of Theorem 2.4, note that  $J(p, r)$  is for the  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module  $\mathbb{F}[x]/(p^r)$ . Consider the expression

$$f(x) = a_0(x) + a_1(x)p(x) + \cdots + a_{r-1}(x)p(x)^{r-1} \in \mathbb{F}[x]/(p^r),$$

where  $a_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ ,  $\deg(a_i) < \deg(p)$ .

Now, the problem reduces to determining invariant factors. We use Smith Normal Form to do this.

**Theorem 2.5** (Invariant Factors). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then invariant factors can be recovered from the Smith Normal Form of  $xI - A$ . More precisely, if  $P^{-1}(xI - A)Q^{-1} = \text{Diag}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  for some invertible matrices  $P, Q$  and  $f_i \mid f_{i+1}$ , then  $f_i$  are the invariant factors of  $A$ .*

Here, first few terms can be 1. The proof starts from investigating the exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}[x]^n \xrightarrow{xI-A} \mathbb{F}[x]^n \xrightarrow{\pi} M^A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we see that

$$M^A \simeq \mathbb{F}[x]^n / \text{Im}(xI - A).$$

**Corollary 2.1** (Similarity of Transpose). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $A$  and its transpose  $A^T$  are similar.*

*Proof.* Write  $xI - A = PDQ$  where  $P, Q$  are invertible in  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}[x])$  and the Smith Normal Form  $D$ . Taking transpose, we have

$$xI - A^T = Q^T D^T P^T = Q^T D P^T.$$

Since  $Q^T, P^T$  are also invertible, we see that  $xI - A$  and  $xI - A^T$  have the same invariant factors.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** (Computation of Similarity Transform for Transpose). *Let  $A$  be  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then we are able to compute the nonsingular similarity transform  $X$  such that  $XA = A^T X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $xI - A = PDQ$  with invertible  $P, Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}[x])$  and  $D$  be the Smith Normal Form of  $A$ . Note that the computation of Smith Normal Form is essentially elementary row/column operations. Thus, we keep track of row/column operations on  $xI - A$ , and  $P$  is obtained by a product of inverses of elementary matrices corresponding to the row operations,  $Q$  by a product of those inverses of column operations.

Then  $xI - A = PDQ$  and  $xI - A^T = Q^T D P^T$  yield an  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}[x]^n / \text{Im}(xI - A) \xrightarrow{Q^T P^{-1}} \mathbb{F}[x]^n / \text{Im}(xI - A^T).$$

We may regard the module isomorphism as a vector-space isomorphism between  $M^A$  and  $M^{A^T}$ . This isomorphism is obtained by writing  $Q^T P^{-1} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}[x])$  with  $x$  replaced by the left multiplication of  $A^T$ .

Write the resulting matrix as  $X$ . Then  $X$  is nonsingular since it is an isomorphism between  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces. Since  $Q^T P^{-1}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module isomorphism, it commutes with the action of  $x$ . Since the action of  $x$  in  $M^A$  is the left multiplication of  $A$ , and that in  $M^{A^T}$  is the left multiplication of  $A^T$ , we have  $XA = A^T X$ .  $\square$

Note that this method can be applied in finding a similarity transform to any given two similar matrices.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the elementary row/column operations on  $xI - A$  yield the Smith Normal Form

$$P^{-1}(xI - A)Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & x^2 - 9x - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, we have

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & \\ x - 7 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x-2}{\frac{1}{3}} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$Q^T P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x-9}{-\frac{1}{3}} & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{9} & \\ & \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives the nonsingular matrix  $X$ ,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{9} & \\ & \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{5}{9} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

For this  $X$ , we have

$$XA = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{9} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A^T X.$$

Note that in this method, we do not need to fully produce a Smith Normal Form for  $A$ . We just need a diagonal  $D$  in the elementary row/column operations. This makes the calculation of  $P$  and  $Q$  simpler. With this simpler procedure, we have the following general result.

**Example 2.2.** In general, let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . If  $b \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \frac{x-d}{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x-a & -b \\ -c & x-d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \frac{x-a}{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -c + \frac{(x-a)(x-d)}{b} & \\ & -b \end{pmatrix}.$$

With  $P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \frac{x-d}{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ -\frac{x-a}{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $Q^T P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a-d}{b} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ .

Case 1:  $b \neq 0$ , we can take  $X = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a-d}{b} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $XA = A^T X$ .

Case 2:  $b = 0, c \neq 0$ , then we take  $X = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a-d}{c} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $XA^T = AX$ .

Case 3:  $b = c = 0$ , then  $A$  is diagonal, and  $X = I$  works.

**Corollary 2.3** (Similarity Preserved by Field Extension). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices over a field  $K$ . Let  $L$  be a field extension of  $K$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are similar over  $K$  if and only if they are similar over  $L$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ) is obvious.

$\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\{A_i\}$  be the complete set of invariant factors of  $A$ , and  $\{B_i\}$  that of  $B$ . Then we have

$$L \otimes_K (\oplus_i K[x]/(A_i)) = \oplus_i L[x]/(A_i),$$

and

$$L \otimes_K (\oplus_i K[x]/(B_i)) = \oplus_i L[x]/(B_i).$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are similar over  $L$ , we see that the RHS of the above formulas should be equal. Hence the sets of invariant factors  $\{A_i\}$  and  $\{B_i\}$  are identical, yielding that  $A$  and  $B$  are similar over  $K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6** (Centralizer of a Matrix). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $C_A = \{B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid AB = BA\}$ . Then the minimal dimension of  $C_A$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $n$ , and this is obtained precisely when the minimal polynomial and characteristic polynomial of  $A$  coincide.*

The idea of proof is interpreting  $C_A$  as an  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -endomorphism algebra of the  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module  $M^A$  (as described above). We use the Rational Canonical Form-Primary decomposition  $M^A = \bigoplus_p \bigoplus_i \mathbb{F}[x]/(p^{\lambda_{p,i}})$ .

Then  $C_A$  can be written as

$$C_A \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[x]} M^A \simeq \bigoplus_p \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[x]}(\mathbb{F}[x]/(p^{\lambda_{p,i}}), \mathbb{F}[x]/(p^{\lambda_{p,j}})).$$

where the first sum is over all irreducible polynomials  $p$  that divides the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , and the indices  $i, j$  of second double sum is from the partition  $\lambda_p = \sum_i \lambda_{p,i}$  that indicates the powers of  $p$  in  $p$ -primary part of  $M^A$ . We then have the formula for  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_A$

$$(9) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_A = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[x]} M^A = \sum_p (\deg p) \sum_{i,j} \min\{\lambda_{p,i}, \lambda_{p,j}\}.$$

The result we have on  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_A$  is a special case of Cecioni-Frobenius Theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** (Cecioni-Frobenius). *Let  $A$  be a  $m \times m$  matrix,  $B$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Denote by  $\nu_{A,B}$  the dimension of  $C_{A,B}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  where*

$$C_{A,B} = \{X \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid AX - XB = 0\}.$$

Then we have  $\nu_{A,B} = \sum_p (\deg p) \sum_{i,j} \min\{\lambda_{p,i}, \mu_{p,j}\}$ .

Here the first sum is over all irreducible polynomials  $p$  which are common in the primary decompositions of  $M^A$  and  $M^B$ , and the indices  $i, j$  of second double sum is from the partition  $\lambda_p = \sum_i \lambda_{p,i}$  that indicates the powers of  $p$  in  $p$ -primary part of  $M^A$ ,  $\mu_p = \sum_j \mu_{p,j}$  that of powers of  $p$  in  $p$ -primary part of  $M^B$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $C_{A,B} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[x]}(M^B, M^A)$ . Then

$$\nu_{A,B} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_{A,B} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[x]}(M^B, M^A) = \sum_p (\deg p) \sum_{i,j} \min\{\lambda_{p,i}, \mu_{p,j}\}.$$

□

An obvious application of Cecioni-Frobenius Theorem is

**Theorem 2.8** (Sylvester Equation). *Let  $A$  be a  $m \times m$  matrix,  $B$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix, and  $C$  be a  $m \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Consider a matrix equation  $AX - XB = C$ . Then*

- The matrix equation  $AX - XB = C$  has a unique solution if and only if primary decompositions of  $M^A$  and  $M^B$  have no common irreducible polynomial.
- In case the equation does not have a unique solution, we have

$$\nu_{A,B} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_{A,B} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \{X \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid AX - XB = 0\} > 0.$$

We present a method for determining similarity of two matrices without module theory.

**Definition 2.1** (Kronecker Product). *Let  $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . The Kronecker Product of the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  is defined by*

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1m}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2m}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mm}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $C \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . The vectorization of matrix  $C$  is written as  $\text{vec}(C)$ . This is a column vector in  $\mathbb{F}^{mn}$  composed of columns of  $C$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , and  $X \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then*

$$\text{vec}(AXB) = (B^T \otimes A) \text{vec}(X).$$

By this lemma, Sylvester equation  $AX - XB = C$  can be written as a linear system of  $mn$  variables.

$$(I_n \otimes A - B^T \otimes I_m)\text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(C).$$

Then we have  $\nu_{A,B} = \text{Null}(I_n \otimes A - B^T \otimes I_m)$ .

**Theorem 2.9** (Byrnes-Gauger). *Let  $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then we have*

$$\nu_{A,A} + \nu_{B,B} - 2\nu_{A,B} \geq 0.$$

*The equality holds if and only if  $m = n$  and the matrices  $A, B$  are similar.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the following combinatorial inequality.

Let  $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_r$ ,  $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_s$  be integers. Then

$$\sum_{i,j} (\min(m_i, m_j) + \min(n_i, n_j) - 2\min(m_i, n_j)) \geq 0$$

with equality holds if and only if  $(m_i)$  and  $(n_j)$  are identical.

This inequality follows from considering the diagonal and off-diagonal pairs.

Case 1:  $i = j$

We have  $m_i + n_i - 2\min(m_i, n_i) \geq 0$  with equality holds if and only if  $m_i = n_i$  for all  $i$ .

Case 2: The off-diagonal pairs  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$  where  $i < j$ .

Subcase 2-1: The intervals  $[m_i, m_j]$  and  $[n_i, n_j]$  do not overlap.

Without loss of generality, assume  $m_j < n_i$ .

We have  $m_i + n_i - 2m_i + m_i + n_i - 2m_j = 2n_i - 2m_j > 0$ .

Subcase 2-2: The intervals  $[m_i, m_j]$  and  $[n_i, n_j]$  overlap.

Without loss of generality, assume  $m_i \leq n_i \leq m_j$ .

We have  $m_i + n_i - 2m_i + m_i + n_i - 2n_i = 0$ .

Thus, the off-diagonal pairs' contribution are always nonnegative. Considering diagonal contributions, it is easy to see that the equality holds if and only if the sequences are identical.  $\square$

The following criteria for similarity can be checked through elementary row operations on  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrices.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are similar if and only if  $\nu_{A,A} = \nu_{B,B} = \nu_{A,B}$ . That is,*

$$\text{Null}(I_n \otimes A - A^T \otimes I_n) = \text{Null}(I_n \otimes B - B^T \otimes I_n) = \text{Null}(I_n \otimes A - B^T \otimes I_n).$$

Since  $A^T$  and  $A$  are similar, we also have by rank-nullity theorem,

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are similar if and only if*

$$\text{rk}(I_n \otimes A - A \otimes I_n) = \text{rk}(I_n \otimes B - B \otimes I_n) = \text{rk}(I_n \otimes A - B \otimes I_n).$$

**Theorem 2.10** (Symmetric Similarity Transform, [11]). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose also that the minimal polynomial and characteristic polynomial of  $A$  coincide. Then any invertible matrix  $X$  satisfying  $XA = A^T X$  is symmetric.*

*Proof.* Consider the following system  $(\Sigma_A)$  of matrix equations.

$$(10) \quad XA = A^T X,$$

$$(11) \quad X = X^T.$$

Note that the below system is equivalent to  $(\Sigma_A)$ .

$$(12) \quad XA = A^T X^T,$$

$$(13) \quad X = X^T.$$

The linear transform  $X \mapsto (XA - A^T X^T, X - X^T)$  has rank at most  $n^2 - n$ . Thus, the solution space of the system  $(\Sigma_A)$  has dimension at least  $n$ .

Now, fix a non-singular transform  $X_0$  such that  $X_0 A = A^T X_0$ . Then

$$XA = A^T X \text{ if and only if } X_0^{-1} X A = A X_0^{-1} X.$$

This yields an isomorphism  $X \mapsto X_0^{-1} X$  between  $\{X \mid XA = A^T X\}$  and  $C_A = \{X' \mid X'A = AX'\}$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} C_A = n$ , the solution space for (10) has dimension  $n$ . Since the solution space for  $(\Sigma_A)$  has dimension  $\geq n$ , the dimension must be exactly  $n$ . Hence, every matrix  $X$  satisfying (10) must also satisfy (11).  $\square$

Note that by Cecioni-Frobenius, it is clear that  $C_{A^T, A}$  and  $C_A$  has the same dimension. There is no need for constructing isomorphism.

For the companion matrix, we have an explicit similarity transform (see [2, Proposition 5.4] and [8]).

**Example 2.3.** (Similarity Transform for Companion Matrices)

Let  $p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  and

$$C(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the companion matrix. Then we have

$$C(p)Y = YC(p)^T = \begin{pmatrix} -a_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 1 \\ 0 & a_3 & & \ddots & & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & a_{n-1} & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & 1 \\ a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 1 & \\ a_3 & a_4 & \cdots & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ a_{n-1} & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 2.11** (Symmetric Similarity Transform 2). *Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then there exists an invertible symmetric matrix  $X$  such that  $XA = A^T X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_0$  be an invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $A = X_0^{-1} J X_0$  with  $J$  is consisted of blocks on diagonal, each block is a companion matrix of  $p^s$  for some irreducible polynomial  $p$  and  $s \geq 1$ . Say,  $J = \text{diag}\{C_1, \dots, C_r\}$ . Now, we may have distinct blocks in  $J$  corresponding to the same irreducible polynomial. Consider

$$XA = A^T X \iff X_0^{-T} X X_0^{-1} J = J^T X_0^{-T} X X_0^{-1},$$

where I used the notation  $X_0^{-T} = (X_0^{-1})^T$ .

By Theorem 2.10 or Example 2.3, we can find a symmetric invertible matrix corresponding to each companion matrix in  $J$ . Put  $X_0^{-T} X X_0^{-1} = \text{diag}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_r\}$  such that  $Y_i C_i = C_i^T Y_i$  and  $Y_i$  is symmetric invertible and of the same size with  $C_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Then we can put a symmetric invertible matrix

$$X = X_0^T \text{diag}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_r\} X_0,$$

which satisfies  $XA = A^T X$ .  $\square$

Note that an invertible  $X$  with  $XA = A^T X$  may not be symmetric if the characteristic polynomial and minimal polynomial of  $A$  do not coincide.

**Theorem 2.12** (Double Commutant Theorem, [5]). *Let  $A, B$  be  $n \times n$  matrix over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  such that any matrix that commutes with  $A$  also commutes with  $B$ . Then  $B = p(A)$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ .*

*Proof.* We use rational canonical form-invariant factor form (Theorem 2.1). Then we have

$$M^A \simeq \mathbb{F}[x]/P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}[x]/P_r,$$

where  $P_i = (p_i)$ ,  $p_i | p_{i+1}$ . This gives invariant subspace decomposition,

$$M^A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i,$$

where  $M_i \simeq \mathbb{F}[x]/P_i$ .

Let  $\pi_i : M^A \rightarrow M_i$  be the projection, and  $\pi_{ij} : M_i \rightarrow M_j$  be the natural projection for  $i > j$ . Extend  $\pi_{ij}$  linearly to  $M^A$  by assigning 0 on all  $M_k$  ( $k \neq i$ ). Then all  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_{ij}$  commute with  $A$ , thus commute with  $B$ . Therefore, each  $M_i$  is  $A$ -invariant, thus it is also  $B$ -invariant. Let  $e_i \in M_i$  be the element corresponding to  $1 + P_i \in \mathbb{F}[x]/P_i$ .

We see that there is  $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that  $Be_r = p(A)e_r$ . We claim that  $Be_i = p(A)e_i$  for all  $i < r$ , and hence  $B = p(A)$ .

$$Be_i = B\pi_{ri}e_r = \pi_{ri}Be_r = \pi_{ri}p(A)e_r = p(A)\pi_{ri}e_r = p(A)e_i.$$

This completes the proof of our claim. □

**Example 2.4.** (Variation of Parameters and Inverse of Confluent Vandermonde Matrix)

Let  $p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 = \prod_{k=1}^r (x - \lambda_k)^{m_k} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  with  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $A = C(p)^T$ . Consider the differential equation (\*1)

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_1y' + a_0y = b(x).$$

We may convert this to a system of differential equations (\*2)

$$\mathbf{y}' = A\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}(x)$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (y \ y' \ \cdots \ y^{(n-1)})^T$  and  $\mathbf{b}(x) = (0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ b(x))^T = b(x)\mathbf{e}_n$ .

We have  $A = VJV^{-1}$  where  $J = \text{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_r)$  is Jordan form with  $J_k = J^T(\lambda_k, m_k)$  having 1 above the main diagonal, and  $V$  is the confluent Vandermonde matrix  $V = (V_1 \ \cdots \ V_r)$  with  $V_k$  is  $n \times m_k$  matrix with entries

$$(V_k)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \binom{i-1}{j-1} \lambda_k^{i-j} & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Apply the matrix exponential to  $A = VJV^{-1}$ , then we have  $e^{tA} = Ve^{tJ}V^{-1}$ . Thus,  $e^{tA}V = Ve^{tJ}$ . The first row of  $Ve^{tJ}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & (y_1, \cdots, y_n) \\ & = \left( e^{t\lambda_1}, e^{t\lambda_1}t, \cdots, e^{t\lambda_1} \frac{t^{m_1-1}}{(m_1-1)!}, \cdots, e^{t\lambda_r}, e^{t\lambda_r}t \cdots, e^{t\lambda_r} \frac{t^{m_r-1}}{(m_r-1)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $W(t) = e^{tA}V$ . Then by  $W'(t) = AW(t)$ ,  $W(t)$  is the Wronskian matrix for the linearly independent solutions  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of the homogeneous part of (\*1). We apply the Variation of Parameters to (\*2), then a particular solution has the form

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = W(t) \int_0^t W^{-1}(u)\mathbf{b}(u)du = W(t) \int_0^t b(u)e^{-uJ}V^{-1}\mathbf{e}_n du.$$

To complete the Variation of Parameters to (\*1), we take the first row  $(y_1 \ \cdots \ y_n)$  of  $W(t)$  so that a particular solution has the form

$$(y_1 \ \cdots \ y_n) \int_0^t b(u)e^{-uJ}V^{-1}\mathbf{e}_n du.$$

Here,  $V^{-1}\mathbf{e}_n$  is the last column of  $V^{-1}$ . This (see [4]) is obtained by the partial fraction

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \frac{K_{k,j}}{(x - \lambda_k)^j}.$$

Then  $V^{-1}\mathbf{e}_n = (K_{1,1} \cdots K_{1,m_1} \cdots K_{r,1} \cdots K_{r,m_r})^T$ . Note that  $K_{k,j} = K_{k,j}^{(0)}$  can be computed from the power series expansion of  $(x - \lambda_k)^{m_k}/p(x)$  at  $x = \lambda_k$ .

The column  $n - j$  of  $V^{-1}$  is obtained from the column  $n - j + 1$  recursively. For each  $k \leq r$ , obtain  $(K_{k,1}^{(j)}, \dots, K_{k,m_k}^{(j)})$  from  $(K_{k,1}^{(j-1)}, \dots, K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)})$  by the following algorithm (see [4]):

- Apply the left shift to obtain  $(K_{k,2}^{(j-1)}, \dots, K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)}, 0)$ ,
- Add  $\lambda_k$  multiple of  $(K_{k,1}^{(j-1)}, \dots, K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)})$ ,
- Add  $a_{n-j}$  multiple of  $(K_{k,1}^{(0)}, \dots, K_{k,m_k}^{(0)})$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} K_{k,1}^{(j)} \\ \vdots \\ K_{k,m_k-1}^{(j)} \\ K_{k,m_k}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} K_{k,2}^{(j-1)} \\ \vdots \\ K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_k \begin{pmatrix} K_{k,1}^{(j-1)} \\ \vdots \\ K_{k,m_k-1}^{(j-1)} \\ K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)} \end{pmatrix} + a_{n-j} \begin{pmatrix} K_{k,1}^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ K_{k,m_k-1}^{(0)} \\ K_{k,m_k}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} K_{k,2}^{(j-1)} + \lambda_k K_{k,1}^{(j-1)} + a_{n-j} K_{k,1}^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)} + \lambda_k K_{k,m_k-1}^{(j-1)} + a_{n-j} K_{k,m_k-1}^{(0)} \\ \lambda_k K_{k,m_k}^{(j-1)} + a_{n-j} K_{k,m_k}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

To see why this algorithm works, apply Laplace transform to the homogeneous part of the equation (\*1). Let  $y = c_{1,j}y_1 + \cdots + c_{n,j}y_n$  and  $Y = \mathcal{L}(y)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}(y^{(m)}) = s^m Y - s^{m-1}y(0) - \cdots - y^{(m-1)}(0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(s)Y - (s^{n-1} + a_{n-1}s^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1)y(0) \\ - (s^{n-2} + a_{n-1}s^{n-3} + \cdots + a_2)y'(0) \\ - \dots \dots \dots \\ - (s + a_{n-1})y^{(n-2)}(0) \\ - y^{(n-1)}(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $(y(0) \ y'(0) \ \dots \ y^{(n-1)}(0))^T = \mathbf{e}_j$ , we have

$$Y = \frac{s^{n-j} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j-1} + \cdots + a_j}{p(s)}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{L}(y) = c_{1,j}\mathcal{L}(y_1) + \cdots + c_{n,j}\mathcal{L}(y_n)$  must be the partial fraction decomposition of  $\frac{s^{n-j} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j-1} + \cdots + a_j}{p(s)}$ . As  $W(0) = V$  and  $V(c_{1,j} \ \dots \ c_{n,j})^T = \mathbf{e}_j$ , we obtain that  $(c_{1,j} \ \dots \ c_{n,j})^T$  is  $j$ -th column of  $V^{-1}$ .

For the recursive relation between consecutive columns, observe that for any root  $\lambda$  of  $p(s) = 0$ ,

$$\frac{(s - \lambda + \lambda)(s^{n-j} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j-1} + \cdots + a_j) + a_{j-1}}{p(s)} = \frac{s^{n-j+1} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j} + \cdots + a_j s + a_{j-1}}{p(s)}.$$

If  $\lambda$ -part of the partial fraction of  $\frac{s^{n-j} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j-1} + \cdots + a_j}{p(s)}$  is

$$\frac{B_1}{s - \lambda} + \frac{B_2}{(s - \lambda)^2} + \cdots + \frac{B_m}{(s - \lambda)^m},$$



then  $\lambda$ -part of  $\frac{s^{n-j+1} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j} + \dots + a_j s + a_{j-1}}{p(s)}$  is

$$B_1 + \frac{B_2 + \lambda B_1}{s - \lambda} + \frac{B_3 + \lambda B_2}{(s - \lambda)^2} + \dots + \frac{B_m + \lambda B_{m-1}}{(s - \lambda)^{m-1}} + \frac{\lambda B_m}{(s - \lambda)^m} \\ + \frac{a_{j-1}A_1}{s - \lambda} + \dots + \frac{a_{j-1}A_m}{(s - \lambda)^m},$$

where  $\frac{A_1}{s - \lambda} + \dots + \frac{A_m}{(s - \lambda)^m}$  is  $\lambda$ -part of  $1/p(s)$ . The sum of the constant term  $B_1$ 's over all  $\lambda$ 's is zero if  $j \geq 2$ . For, observe that it is the sum of all residues of  $\frac{s^{n-j} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j-1} + \dots + a_j}{p(s)}$ . Thus, its vanishing is shown by the following limit of the integral over the circle  $C_R$  of radius  $R$  centered at 0,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} \frac{s^{n-j} + a_{n-1}s^{n-j-1} + \dots + a_j}{p(s)} ds = 0$$

**Example 2.5.** (Powers of a Matrix) Let  $m_A(x) = x^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 = \prod_{k=1}^r (x - \lambda_k)^{m_k} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  with  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , be the minimal polynomial of  $A \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Then for any  $n \geq m$ , we write the powers of  $A$  symbolically as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{n-m+1} \\ A^{n-m+2} \\ \vdots \\ A^n \end{pmatrix} = M_A \begin{pmatrix} A^{n-m} \\ A^{n-m+1} \\ \vdots \\ A^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = M_A^{n-m+1} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ A \\ \vdots \\ A^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$M_A = C(m_A)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \dots & \dots & -a_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By  $M_A = VJV^{-1}$  where  $J = \text{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_r)$  is Jordan form with  $J_k = J^T(\lambda_k, m_k)$  having 1 above the main diagonal, and  $V$  is the confluent Vandermonde matrix  $V = (V_1 \dots V_r)$  with  $V_k$  is  $m \times m_k$  matrix with entries

$$(V_k)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \binom{i-1}{j-1} \lambda_k^{i-j} & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We compute  $V^{-1}$  by the method of the previous example. The required powers of  $M_A$  are computed by  $M_A^{n-m+1} = VJ^{n-m+1}V^{-1}$ . Let  $(c_{m,1}^{(n)}, \dots, c_{m,m}^{(n)})$  be the last row of  $M_A^{n-m+1}$ . Then

$$A^n = c_{m,1}^{(n)}I + c_{m,2}^{(n)}A + \dots + c_{m,m}^{(n)}A^{m-1}.$$

Thus, each entry of  $A^n$  is a linear combination of  $\lambda_k^n q_k(n)$  with  $q_k \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $\deg q_k(n) \leq m_k - 1$ .

**Example 2.6.** (Stochastic Matrix-Perron-Frobenius Theorem) Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  with nonnegative entries.  $A$  is called a *stochastic matrix* if each column sums to 1. Then each row of  $A^T$  sums to 1. The following properties hold for  $A^T$ .

- 1 is an eigenvalue of  $A^T$  with an eigenvector  $\mathbf{1} = (1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1)^T$ .
- For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(A^T)^n$  is has each row sum to 1.

*Proof.* This follows from  $(A^T)^n \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ . □

- If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is any eigenvalue of  $A^T$ , then  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* To see this, let  $x = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be an eigenvector associated to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Let  $x_i$  be the entry of  $x$  with the largest absolute value. Dividing by  $x_i$ , we may assume that  $x_i = 1$  and  $|x_j| \leq 1$  for each  $j$ . Then  $i$ -th entry of  $Ax = \lambda x$  gives (\*)

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{in}x_n = \lambda$$

Then by Triangle Inequality and  $|x_j| \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$|\lambda| = |a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{in}x_n| \leq a_{11} + \cdots + a_{in} = 1.$$

□

The above proof is in fact similar to that of Gershgorin Theorem (Theorem 3.5).

- Any Jordan block  $J^T(1, m)$  of  $A^T$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1, must satisfy  $m = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an invertible matrix with  $A^T = XJX^{-1}$  so that  $J$  is the Jordan form of  $A^T$ . Observe that  $(A^T)^n$  remains bounded as  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  varies. Then  $X^{-1}(A^T)^n X = J^n$  is also bounded. If  $J$  has a block  $J^T(1, m)$  with  $m \geq 2$ , then  $J^n$  has polynomial entries in  $n$  and  $J^n$  fails to be bounded. □

A stochastic matrix is said to be *positive* if all entries are positive. If  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  is positive stochastic, then the following properties hold for  $A^T$ .

- Any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A^T$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$  must be  $\lambda = 1$ . The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 is 1-dimensional, hence it is spanned by  $\mathbf{1}$ .

*Proof.* If  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then inequality below must be an equality,

$$|\lambda| = |a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{in}x_n| \leq a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{in} = 1.$$

Thus, positivity of  $A$  shows that  $|x_j| = 1$  for each  $j$ . Moreover,  $|a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{in}x_n| = 1$  implies that  $x_j = 1$  for each  $j$ . □

Since  $A$  and  $A^T$  are similar, they have equivalent Jordan forms.

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n = (b \cdots b)$  where entries of  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are positive and sum to 1.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a real eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Let  $Y$  be an invertible matrix such that  $A = YJY^{-1}$  with  $v$  the first column and  $J$  the Jordan form of  $A$ . Since any eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq 1$  of  $A^T$  has  $|\lambda| < 1$  and the eigenvalue 1 has 1-dimensional eigenspace,  $A$  also satisfies those properties. Then we see that  $J^n$  converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n = Y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} | & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ v & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ | & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y^{-1} = vv^T$$

□

where  $w^T \in M_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  is the first row of  $Y^{-1}$ . Since  $A$  is stochastic, so is  $vv^T$ . Thus, there is  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with nonnegative entries sum to 1 such that all columns of  $vv^T$  are  $b$ . The vector  $b$  with nonnegative entries must in fact have positive entries due to  $b = Ab$ .

3. SPECTRAL THEORY

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  is

- *hermitian* if  $\overline{A}^T = A$ ,
- *skew-hermitian* if  $\overline{A}^T = -A$ ,
- *unitary* if  $A\overline{A}^T = I$ ,
- *normal* if  $A\overline{A}^T = \overline{A}^T A$ .

For simplicity, we write  $\overline{A}^T = A^*$ . Note that hermitian, skew-hermitian, and unitary matrices are normal. The eigenvalues of hermitian matrices are all real, those of skew-hermitian matrices are all pure imaginary, and those of unitary matrices are all on the unit circle.

**Definition 3.2.** For any vectors  $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the hermitian product of  $v$  and  $w$  is denoted by  $\langle v, w \rangle$  and defined by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = v^* w.$$

**Theorem 3.1** (Common Eigenvector). *Let  $A, B$  be  $n \times n$  complex matrices such that  $AB = BA$ . Then there is a nonzero vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  which is an eigenvector for both  $A$  and  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be an eigenvalue of a matrix  $A$  and  $V_\lambda$  be the corresponding eigenspace. For any  $x \in V_\lambda$ , we have

$$ABx = BAx = B(\lambda x) = \lambda Bx.$$

This shows that  $Bx \in V_\lambda$  for any  $x \in V_\lambda$ . Thus,  $V_\lambda$  is invariant under  $B$ . Then let  $v \in V_\lambda$  be an eigenvector of  $B$  restricted to  $V_\lambda$ . This is a common eigenvector of both  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $v$  be a common eigenvector of  $A$  and  $A^*$  for a normal matrix  $A$ . If  $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ , then  $\langle v, Aw \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A^*v = \lambda v$ . Then  $\langle v, Aw \rangle = \langle A^*v, w \rangle = \overline{\lambda} \langle v, w \rangle = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** (Spectral Theorem). *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  normal matrix. Then there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  and a diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = UDU^*$ .*

As a corollary, a hermitian matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable through a unitary matrix  $U$  and a real diagonal matrix  $D$ . Denote by

$$\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A)$$

the real eigenvalues of a hermitian matrix  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Courant-Fischer). *Let  $A$  be a hermitian matrix. Then*

$$\lambda_i(A) = \sup_{\substack{\dim V=i \\ |v|=1}} \inf_{v \in V} v^* Av,$$

$$\lambda_i(A) = \inf_{\substack{\dim V=n-i+1 \\ |v|=1}} \sup_{v \in V} v^* Av.$$

*Proof.* The second identity follows from the first by taking  $-A$  in the first one. To prove the first identity, assume that the standard basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are the eigenvectors of  $A$ . Take  $V = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_i\}$ . Then  $\dim V = i$  and

$$\inf_{\substack{v \in V \\ |v|=1}} v^* Av = \lambda_i(A) \leq \sup_{\dim V=i} \inf_{\substack{v \in V \\ |v|=1}} v^* Av.$$

For the reverse inequality, take any  $V$  with  $\dim V = i$  and  $W = \text{span}\{e_i, \dots, e_n\}$ . Then  $\dim(V \cap W) \geq 1$  by the dimension identity

$$\dim(V \cap W) = \dim V + \dim W - \dim(V + W).$$

We take a unit vector  $v \in V \cap W$ . Then  $v^* Av \leq \lambda_i(A)$  since  $v \in W$ . Therefore, we have

$$\inf_{\substack{v \in V \\ |v|=1}} v^* Av \leq \lambda_i(A)$$

since  $v \in V$ . Taking the supremum over  $\dim V = i$ , we have the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are both hermitian matrices, then*

$$|\lambda_i(A+B) - \lambda_i(A)| \leq \|B\|$$

where  $\|B\|$  is the operator norm of  $B$ .

*Proof.* We use  $v^*(A+B)v = v^*Av + v^*Bv$ . Let  $\dim V = i$ . Find a unit vector  $v \in V$  such that

$$v^*Av = \inf_{\substack{v \in V \\ |v|=1}} v^*Av.$$

Since  $v^*Av \leq \lambda_i(A)$  and  $v^*Bv \leq \|B\|$ , we have

$$\inf_{\substack{v \in V \\ |v|=1}} v^*(A+B)v \leq \lambda_i(A) + \|B\|$$

Taking the supremum over  $\dim V = i$ , we have

$$\lambda_i(A+B) \leq \lambda_i(A) + \|B\|.$$

Similarly,

$$\lambda_i(A) \leq \lambda_i(A - (-B)) + \|-B\|.$$

Then the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** (Weyl's Inequality). *If  $A$  and  $B$  are both hermitian matrices, then*

$$\lambda_{i+j-1}(A+B) \leq \lambda_i(A) + \lambda_j(B)$$

whenever  $i, j \geq 1$  and  $i+j-1 \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis so that  $Av_k = \lambda_k(A)v_k$  for all  $k$ , and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis so that  $Bw_k = \lambda_k(B)w_k$  for all  $k$ . Consider  $V = \text{span}\{v_i, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $W = \text{span}\{w_j, \dots, w_n\}$ . Then  $\dim V = n - i + 1$ ,  $\dim W = n - j + 1$ , and  $v^*Av \leq \lambda_i(A)$  for all unit vector  $v \in V$ ,  $w^*Bw \leq \lambda_j(B)$  for all unit vector  $w \in W$ . By the dimension identity, we have

$$\dim(V \cap W) \geq (n - i + 1) + (n - j + 1) - n = n - (i + j - 1) + 1.$$

Consider a subspace  $V'$  of  $V \cap W$  with  $\dim V' = n - (i + j - 1) + 1$ . For any unit vector  $v \in V'$ , we have

$$v^*(A+B)v = v^*Av + v^*Bv \leq \lambda_i(A) + \lambda_j(B).$$

Then by the second Courant-Fischer identity, the result follows.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** *Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The spectral radius of  $A$  is defined as*

$$\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\}$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is hermitian, we have  $\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda_1(A)|, |\lambda_n(A)|\}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *The spectral radius function is continuous. That is  $\rho : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.*

The proof relies on Rouché's theorem.

**Lemma 3.1** (Fekete). *Let  $(a_n)$  be a subadditive real sequence, that is,  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n$ , and  $a_{m+n} \leq a_m + a_n$  for all  $m, n$ . Then  $\lim(a_n/n) \in [-\infty, \infty)$  and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $a_n \leq na_1$ . Thus, the sequence  $(a_n/n)$  is bounded above. Let  $\alpha = \limsup(a_n/n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n_k}/n_k)$  with increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(n_k)$ . Fix any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Euclidean Division Algorithm, we have  $n_k = mq_k + r_k$  for some  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, \infty)$  and  $0 \leq r_k < m$ . Then  $q_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$\frac{a_{n_k}}{n_k} = \frac{a_{mq_k+r_k}}{mq_k+r_k} \leq \frac{q_k a_m + a_{r_k}}{mq_k+r_k}.$$

Taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\alpha \leq a_m/m$ . Thus, taking infimum over  $m$ , we have  $\alpha \leq \inf(a_m/m)$ . Hence, we must have  $\lim(a_n/n) = \alpha$ . □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The following hold*

- (1)  $\rho(A) < 1$  if and only if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k = 0$ .
- (2) For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\rho(A) \leq \|A^k\|^{1/k}$ .
- (3) (Gelfand)  $\rho(A) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^k\|^{1/k}$ .

*Proof of (1).* Note that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J^k = 0$  for any Jordan block of  $A$ . Also,  $\rho(A) < 1$  if and only if any eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $A$  satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Then (1) follows from

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J^k = 0 \text{ if and only if } J = J(\lambda, r) \text{ with } |\lambda| < 1.$$

□

*Proof of (2).* Let  $Av = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\lambda^k v| = |A^k v| \leq \|A^k\| \|v\|.$$

Then  $|\lambda^k| \leq \|A^k\|$ . This gives  $|\lambda| \leq \|A^k\|^{1/k}$ . □

*Proof of (3).* We have  $\|A^k A^j\| \leq \|A^k\| \cdot \|A^j\|$  for all  $k, j \geq 0$ . If  $\|A^k\| = 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have  $\|A^{k+j}\| = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^k\|^{1/k} = 0$ . Assume  $\|A^k\| > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by Fekete's lemma, the sequence  $(\frac{1}{k} \log \|A^k\|)$  converges. Thus, the sequence  $(\|A^k\|^{1/k})$  converges. Consider the function  $f : \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| > \rho(A)\} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $f(z) = (zI - A)^{-1}$ . This is a vector-valued analytic function Laurant series is

$$\frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{1 - (A/z)} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{z^k}.$$

The series cannot converge for any  $|z| < \rho(A)$ , so its radius of convergence as a power series of  $w = 1/z$  is  $\rho(A)^{-1}$ . By Cauchy-Hadamard's radius of convergence formula, we must have

$$\rho(A) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^k\|^{1/k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^k\|^{1/k}.$$

□

Note that this proof works when  $A$  is a bounded linear operator on a Banach space (see [7]).

The locations of eigenvalues depending on the entries of matrices can be found in Gershgorin's disk theorem.

**Theorem 3.5** (Gershgorin's Disk Theorem). *Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $R_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ . Then the set of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  of  $A$  satisfies*

$$\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n D(a_{ii}, R_i).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Choose an eigenvector  $x$  with  $|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|$ . Then by dividing  $x_i$ , we may impose  $|x_j| \leq 1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $x_i = 1$ . Since  $Ax = \lambda x$ , we have

$$\lambda = \lambda x_i = \sum_j a_{ij} x_j = a_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j.$$

By triangle inequality, it follows that

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq R_i.$$

Thus, we have the result. □

The eigenvalue inequalities can be improved in case the matrix  $A$  is hermitian.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be hermitian. Let  $c_j$  be the  $j$ -th column of  $A$  with the  $j$ -th component is set to zero. Then for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  such that  $|\lambda - a_{jj}| \leq \|c_j\|_2$  where  $\|c_j\|_2$  is  $\ell_2$ -norm of  $c_j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E_j = c_j e_j^T + e_j \overline{c_j}^T$ , where  $e_j$  is the standard basis vector. Then  $a_{jj}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A - E_j$ . Since  $A$  and  $A - E_j$  are both hermitian, their is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  satisfies

$$|\lambda - a_{jj}| \leq \|E_j\| = \|c_j\|_2$$

Thus, the result follows. □

This is a special case of Bauer-Fike theorem (see [9, Theorem 5.15]).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Cohn, *On the Structure of the  $GL_2$  of a Ring*, Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 30(1966), 5-53.
- [2] P. Fuhrmann, *A Polynomial Approach to Linear Algebra*, 2nd edition, Springer.
- [3] R. Horn, C. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press.
- [4] S. Hou, W. Pang, *Inversion of Confluent Vandermonde Matrices*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 43(2002) 1539-1547.
- [5] P. Lagerstrom, *A Proof of Theorem on Commutative Matrices*, Bulletin of AMS, Volume 51, 535-536
- [6] K. Matthews, *MP274 Lecture Notes 1991*, [www.numbertheory.org/courses/MP274/applic.pdf](http://www.numbertheory.org/courses/MP274/applic.pdf)
- [7] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Method of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Academic Press.
- [8] L. Solomon, *Similarity of the Companion Matrix and its Transpose*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 302–303 (1999) 555–561
- [9] E. Suli, D. Mayers, *An Introduction to Numerical Analysis*, Cambridge University Press.
- [10] T. Tao, *Topics in Random Matrix Theory*, AMS, GSM Volume 132
- [11] O. Taussky, H. Zassenhaus, *On the Similarity Transform between a Matrix and its Transpose*, Pacific J. Math. Volume 9, Number 3 (1959), 893-896.